

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassackin, Virginia 22313-1450 www.opub.com

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/574,264	03/31/2006	Jae Kap Lee	06181/0207506-US0 7194			
7278 7590 03/24/2010 DARBY & DARBY P.C.			EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 770		MILLER, JR, JOSEPH ALBERT				
Church Street: New York, NY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	1.00 1.011,1.1 1.000 0770			1792		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			03/24/2010	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/574,264	LEE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JOSEPH MILLER JR	1792		

	JOSEPH MILLER JR	1792						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 17 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.						
<ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
	" "# 07 OFD 44 07	The state of the state of the state of						
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
AMENDMENTS								
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further core</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);						
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	ter form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying the	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.116	21 See attached Notice of Non Co.	mpliant Amandment /	OTOL 224)					
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (	-10L-324).					
Mewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmen	et canceling the					
non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ii subiliitted iii a separate, t	intery fried afficiation	it canceling the					
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided.</li> </ol>		be entered and an e	planation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
13. Other:								
/Timothy H Meeks/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792	/JOSEPH MILLER JR/ Examiner, Art Unit 1792							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

## Affaidavit entered:

The affidavit is entered because applicants provide support for the time frames between May, 2004 to October, 2004. Furthermore, applicants stand correct in that the critical period is from prior to the effective date of the reference and not, as previuosly stated by examiner, from the date of conception.

Examiner accepts the evidence for the period of May - October, 2004 but notes (see 11 below) that the period of April, 2004 is not accounted for.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The filing provides no support for any activity in April, 2004. Inventor Lee makes a statement that activity occurred at between February and May 14, 2004 (Item 4 in Declaration) but there is no evidence of any type of work being performed in April, 2004. The statements are broad such that the work could have occurred at any opinit in that range (ie. work in June, 2004 also occurs "prior to February 25, 2004 until at least July 13, 2004. While applicants may indeed redact dates, there is no particular statement or evidence of activity, for example, in April, 2004 (or between March 28 (date prior to Klevs applied reference date) and the May 14 date.

The entire period must be accounted for, please see the MPEP 2105: THE ENTIRE PERIOD DURING WHICH DILL-GENCE IS RECUIRED MUST BE ACCOUNTED FOR BY EITHER AFFIRMATIVE ACTS OR ACCEPTABLE EXCUSES An applicant must account for the entire period during which diligence is required. Gould v. Schawlow, 363 F.2d 908, 919, 150 USPQ 634, 643 (CCPA 1966) (Merely stating that there were no weeks or months that the invention was not worked on is not enough); In re Harry, 333 F.2d 920, 923, 142 USPQ 164, 166 (CCPA 1964) (statement that the subject matter "was diligently reduced practice" is not a showing but a mere pleading). A 2-day period lacking activity has been held to be fatal. In re Mulder, 716 F.2d 1542, 1545, 219 USPQ 189, 193 (Fed. Cir. 1983) (37 CFR 1.131 issue); Fitzgerald v. Arbib, 268 F.2d 763, 766, 122 USPQ 50, 532 (CCPA 1959) (Less than 1 month of inactivity during critical period. ... (Diligence requires that applicants must be specific as to dates and facts.)